

# Modelling of W erosion/redeposition in DTT 3D geometry with the ERO2.0 code

G. Alberti, M. Passoni

Politecnico di Milano







This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 — EUROfusion). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.

#### Extreme operational conditions for materials in fusion environment:

- heat loads up to 10-100 MW m<sup>-2</sup>
- particle fluxes up to 10<sup>24</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>



Consequences:

- erosion of exposed materials, setting limit to components lifetime
- plasma dilution and cooling due to **migration** of eroded materials in core

Necessity to quantitatively measure these phenomena in a tokamak with appropriate diagnostics







S. Di Genova et al 2021 Nucl. Fusion 61 106019

Gabriele Alberti | WP DIV-IDTT 2023 Midterm Meeting | Frascati, 17/07/2023 | Page 2

# **Objectives of this work**



# **Objectives**

- Evaluate distribution of W erosion and redeposition on DTT divertor and first wall, in view of assessing optimal position for erosion/deposition diagnostics
- 2. Analyze W contribution to plasma **core contamination**, estimating W flux crossing the separatrix towards the core

# **Plasma reference scenarios:** DTT high power scenario in SN, XD, NT, detached with seeding and attached in pure D

### Modelling approach: the ERO2.0 code





#### Limitations for this study:

- Plasma considered as background, plasma ions not traced -> assumptions e.g. for incidence angle
- Can acquire full distributions of only one plasma species at a time -> seeding?
- Difficulties in simulating ELMs erosion, especially due to quickly variable plasma background

# Preliminary previous results: Attached case with D<sup>+</sup> background

First assessment of the order of magnitude of DTT PFCs erosion, simulating a preliminary attached case:

- in **divertor** configuration, to estimate divertor erosion
- in limiter configuration, for limiter erosion in symmetric and shaped geometry









W0 density

Gabriele Alberti | WP DIV-IDTT 2023 Midterm Meeting | Frascati, 17/07/2023 | Page 5



## **ERO2.0** inputs



- Plasma background from previous SOLEDGE simulations
- 3D toroidally symmetric geometry -> wall shaping may be considered at later stage
- SDTrimSP database for sputtering/reflection yields



SOLEDGE background produced by P. Innocente Images: courtesy of F. Cani

Gabriele Alberti | WP DIV-IDTT 2023 Midterm Meeting | Frascati, 17/07/2023 | Page 6



Limitations



Incidence angle of plasma species, not traced by ERO (sheath deflection)

Parametric scan on incidence angle, indications from PIC simulations

Seeding impurities in plasma background, full distribution of > 1 species not acquirable by ERO



Use variable M<sub>eff</sub> and Z<sub>eff</sub> in plasma to overcome constant distribution assumption

Erosion estimation during **ELMs** 



Not directly simulated, scan on W concentration in inter-ELM phase to partially account for ELMs effect

### Workplan



- 1. Attached pure D-plasma in SN configuration, symmetric 3D wall, no CX neutrals erosion
  - a. Investigate effect of ion incidence angle assumption for plasma
  - b. Investigate effect of W impurities presence in inter-ELM phase due to ELMs
- 2. Refine obtained results considering:
  - a. Erosion due to **CX neutrals**
  - b. Possibility to consider wall shaping and shadowing
- 3. Estimate W crossing the separatrix coming from different parts of the wall
- 4. Select cases of interest to compare obtained results with **XD**, **NT** and in **detached** with seeding conditions



# Thank you for your attention!



**DIPARTIMENTO DI ENERGIA** 

