Nonlinear decay of reversed shear Alfvén eigenmode and core-localized alpha-channeling

Zhiyong Qiu^{1,2*}

¹ Inst. Fusion Theory & Simulation (IFTS), School Phys., Zhejiang Univ., P.R.C. ² Center for Nonlinear Plasma Science (CNPS) and ENEA, C. R. Frascati, Italy.

> 3rd trilateral workshop on EP physics Nov. 7 - 11, 2022

^{*}in collaboration with Shizhao Wei, Tao Wang, Liu Chen and Fulvio Zonca

Outline

- □ Introduction: energetic particles (EP) and Alfvén instabilities in fusion plasmas
- \Box General equation for SAW nonlinear coupling
- □ Nonlinear decay of reversed shear Alfvén eigenmode (RSAE)
- □ Nonlinear saturation and core-localized alpha-channeling
- □ Summary and Discussions

Energetic particles and Alfvén eigenmodes in fusion plasmas

- Shear Alfvén wave instabilities (SAW) excited by energetic particles (fusion- α) is an important issue in burning plasmas [Chen RMP16]: complementary- heating (alpha-channeling) + anomalous EP transport
- $\square SAW induced EP transport rate depends on SAW amplitude & spectrum (diffusive/convective) \leftarrow SAW nonlinear saturation mechanisms$
- □ Nonlinear mode coupling [Chen PoP13] is an important route for SAW instability nonlinear saturation in reactors with $a/\rho_h \gtrsim O(10)$: multiple-modes co-exist
- Toroidal Alfvén eigenmode (TAE) extensively studied as paradigm case for nonlinear mode coupling [Hahm&Chen 95, Chen&Zonca&Qiu 95 -]
- $\square \quad \text{Reversed shear Alfvén eigenmode (RSAE) related physics expected to be crucial in reactors: reversed shear configuration + core-localized fusion-α generation$

RSAE characterized by $n \gtrsim O(10)$ in reactors [Wang PoP18]

RSAEs preferentially excited in tokamak center with (weakly) reversed shear



• DTT equilibrium $\rho_h/a \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$

 \Leftarrow single-n simulation with n=4

- dominated by few poloidal harmonics
- dominant drive from precession resonance

Multiple-RSAEs co-exist with comparable linear growth rates, $k_{\perp}\rho_h \sim O(1)$ and frequency sensitively depend on n via $k_{\parallel} \propto (nq_{min} - m) \ (q_{min} = 1.02)$



□ Nonlinear mode coupling expected to play important role in RSAE saturation [Wei&Qiu 2021-].

This work: parametric decay of RSAE

- □ Parametric decay of RSAE into another RSAE and low frequency Alfvén mode (LFAM, e.g., BAE)
 - rich spectrum of RSAE/kRSAE (linearly stable/unstable): multiple-RSAEs excited with comparable growth rates + different frequencies
 - radially overlapped mode structures
 - matching condition easily satisfied due to dense RSAE spectrum
- \Box Secondary LFAM with $\omega \leq O(v_i/(qR_0))$ excited at q_{min} :
 - $\omega \leq O(v_i/(qR_0))$: ion heating via Landau damping
 - narrow LFAM radial structure $\delta r \sim \sqrt{\beta}/(nq')$: core-localized heating
 - \Rightarrow improved fusion performance

Theoretical model

 $\Box \qquad \text{Field variables } \delta\phi \text{ and } \delta\psi \equiv \omega\delta A_{\parallel}/(ck_{\parallel}) \ (\Rightarrow\delta B_{\perp}) \text{ used}$

 \Box Mode equations derived from nonlinear gyrokinetic vorticity equation

$$\frac{c^2}{4\pi\omega^2} B \frac{\partial}{\partial l} \frac{k_{\perp}^2}{B} \frac{\partial}{\partial l} \delta\psi_k + \frac{e^2}{T_i} \langle (1 - J_k^2) F_0 \rangle \delta\phi_k - \sum_s \left\langle \frac{q}{\omega} J_k \omega_d \delta H_s \right\rangle$$

$$= -\frac{i}{\omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{k}''+\mathbf{k}'} \frac{c}{B_0} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{k}'' \times \mathbf{k}' \left[\left\langle (J_k J_{k'} - J_{k''}) \delta L_{k'} \delta H_{k''} \right\rangle + \frac{c^2 k_{\perp}''^2}{4\pi} \frac{\partial_l \delta\psi_{k'} \partial_l \delta\psi_{k''}}{\omega_{k'} \omega_{k''}} \right]$$

- $\bullet\,$ derived from ||-Ampere's law, QN condition and NL GKE
- LHS: field line bending, inertia, ballooning-interchange
- RHS: Reynolds stress (RS, $\delta \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \delta \mathbf{v}$), Maxwell stress (MX, $\delta \mathbf{j} \times \delta \mathbf{B}/c$).
- ... and quasi-neutrality condition:

$$\frac{n_0 e^2}{T_i} \left(1 + \frac{T_i}{T_e} \right) \delta \phi_k = \sum_s \langle q \delta H_s \rangle_k$$

 \Box Nonadiabatic response δH derived from NL gyrokinetic equation

General equation for SAW nonlinear coupling

- Considering two SAWs, $\Omega_1 \equiv \Omega_1(\omega_1, \mathbf{k}_1)$ and $\Omega_2 \equiv \Omega_2(\omega_2, \mathbf{k}_2)$ coupling and generating a third SAW $\Omega_3 \equiv \Omega_3(\omega_3, \mathbf{k}_3)$: $\Omega_1 + \Omega_2 \to \Omega_3$
- \square The first equation of Ω_3 can be derived from nonlinear vorticity equation

$$\frac{n_0 e^2}{T_i} b_{k_3} \left(-\frac{k_{\parallel,3}^2 V_A^2}{\omega_3^2} \delta \psi_{k_3} + \delta \phi_{k_3} - \frac{\omega_G^2}{\omega_3^2} \delta \phi_{k_3} \right) \\
\simeq -\frac{i}{\omega_3} \frac{n_0 e^2}{T_i} \Lambda_{k_2,k_1}^{k_3} (b_{k_2} - b_{k_1}) \left(1 - \frac{k_{\parallel,1} k_{\parallel,2} V_A^2}{\omega_1 \omega_2} \right) \delta \phi_{k_1} \delta \phi_{k_2}.$$
(1)

 \Box The other equation from Q.N. condition:

$$\Rightarrow \quad \delta\phi_{k_3} - \delta\psi_{k_3} = -i\Lambda_{k_2,k_1}^{k_3} \frac{1}{k_{\parallel,3}} \left(\frac{k_{\parallel,1}}{\omega_1} - \frac{k_{\parallel,2}}{\omega_2}\right) \delta\phi_{k_1}\delta\phi_{k_2}.$$
(2)

 \Box Substituting (2) into (1)

$$b_{k_3} \mathcal{E}_{k_3} \delta \phi_{k_3} = -\frac{i}{\omega_3} \Lambda_{k_2, k_1}^{k_3} \underbrace{\left[(b_{k_2} - b_{k_1}) \left(1 - \frac{k_{\parallel, 1} k_{\parallel, 2} V_A^2}{\omega_1 \omega_2} \right) + b_{k_3} V_A^2 \frac{k_{\parallel, 3}}{\omega_3} \left(\frac{k_{\parallel, 1}}{\omega_1} - \frac{k_{\parallel, 2}}{\omega_2} \right) \right]}_{\alpha_{k_3}} \delta \phi_{k_1} \delta \phi_{k_2}.$$

General equation for SAW nonlinear interaction in torus $\Omega_1 + \Omega_2 \rightarrow \Omega_3$:

- SAW DR naturally satisfied: $(\omega_1 + \omega_2) \simeq (k_{\parallel,1} + k_{\parallel,2})V_A$
- $\Rightarrow \Omega_3$ strongly excited if it is a normal mode \Rightarrow successive & significant spectral cascading
 - not easy to satisfy: limited choice of k_{\parallel} due to the periodicity of torus
 - counter-part of "Hasegawa-Mima equation" of SAW in torus
 - analogous to electron-DW with $\omega \simeq k_y v_* / (1 + k_\perp^2 \rho_s^2)$
 - generalization to KAW with δE_{\parallel} due to FLR effects [Chen EPL11] $\Rightarrow \omega/k_{\perp}$ cascading of KAW in solar wind? [Chen&Hasegawa 2021 ?]

Parametric decay of RSAE

- $\square \quad \text{Considering the spontaneous decay of a pump RSAE } \boldsymbol{\Omega}_0 \text{ into RSAE sideband } \boldsymbol{\Omega}_1 \\ \text{and a LFAM } \boldsymbol{\Omega}_B$
 - $\Omega_0 = \Omega_1 + \Omega_B$ assumed as matching condition
 - $\omega_B \lesssim O(v_i/(qR_0))$ for effective alpha-channeling $\Rightarrow \omega_B \ll \omega_0, \omega_1, k_{\parallel,B} \simeq 0$
 - LFAM in reversed shear region [Ma PPCF2022]
- □ Coupled nonlinear RSAE sideband and LFAM equations:

$$b_1 \mathcal{E}_1 \delta \phi_1 = -\frac{i}{\omega_1} \Lambda_{k_0, k_{B^*}}^{k_1} \alpha_1 \delta \phi_0 \delta \phi_{B^*}, \qquad (3)$$

$$b_B \mathcal{E}_B \delta \phi_B = -\frac{i}{\omega_B} \Lambda_{k_0, k_{1*}}^{k_B} \alpha_B \delta \phi_0 \delta \phi_{1*}$$
(4)

 \square Parametric dispersion relation for RSAE Ω_0 spontaneous decay:

$$\mathcal{E}_1 \mathcal{E}_{B^*} = \frac{\Lambda_{k_0, k_{B^*}}^{k_1} \Lambda_{k_0, k_{1^*}}^{k_B}}{b_B b_1 \omega_B \omega_1} \underbrace{\alpha_1 \alpha_B}_{\alpha_N} |\delta \phi_0|^2 \tag{5}$$

 $\square \quad \text{Expanding } \mathcal{E}_1 \simeq i \partial_{\omega_1} \mathcal{E}_{1,\mathcal{R}}(\partial_t + \gamma_1) \simeq (2i/\omega_1)(\gamma + \gamma_1) \text{ and } \mathcal{E}_{B^*} \simeq (-2i/\omega_B)(\gamma + \gamma_B),$ with $\gamma_1 \equiv -\mathcal{E}_{1,\mathcal{I}}/\partial_{\omega_1} \mathcal{E}_{1,\mathcal{R}} \Rightarrow$

$$(\gamma + \gamma_1)(\gamma + \gamma_B) = \frac{(\Lambda_{k_0, k_B^*}^{k_1})^2}{4b_B b_1} \alpha_N |\delta\phi_0|^2.$$
(6)

 $\square \qquad \text{Condition for spontaneous decay } (\gamma > 0):$

$$\alpha_N > 0 \implies$$
 scattering direction (7)

$$\frac{(\Lambda_{k_0,k_B*}^{k_1})^2}{4b_B b_1} \alpha_N |\delta\phi_0|^2 > \gamma_B \gamma_1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{threshold on } \delta\phi_0 \tag{8}$$

 \Box Expression of α_N in the simplified $k_{\parallel,B}V_A \ll \omega_B$ limit:

$$\alpha_N \simeq (b_0 - b_1) \left(b_0 - b_B - b_1 \right) \left(1 - \frac{k_{\parallel,1} k_{\parallel,0} V_A^2}{\omega_0 \omega_1} \right).$$
(9)

- $1 k_{\parallel,1}k_{\parallel,0}V_A^2/(\omega_0\omega_1)$: determined by q_{min} and n_0/n_1 , i.e., below/above SAW CAP minimum/maximum $\Rightarrow \omega/k_{\parallel}$ scattering
- $(b_0 b_1)(b_0 b_B b_1) = \rho_i^4 (k_{\perp,0}^2 k_{\perp,1}^2) (\mathbf{k}_{\perp,0} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{\perp,1} k_{\perp,1}^2)$: k_{\perp} scattering

Condition for maximized cross-section $(\Lambda_{k_0,k_{B^*}}^{k_1})^2 \alpha_N |\delta \phi_0|^2 / (4b_B b_1)$:

- $\Lambda_{k_0,k_{B^*}}^{k_1} \propto \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{\perp,0} \times \mathbf{k}_{\perp,1}$: maximized as $\mathbf{k}_{\perp,0} \perp \mathbf{k}_{\perp,1}$
- inertial layer with $k_{r,0} \gg k_{\theta,0} \Rightarrow$ scattering to large $k_{\theta,1}$ (high- n_1) region
- \Rightarrow two potential parameter regimes for $\alpha_N > 0$

 \Box First parameter regime: strong scattering to high- n_1 with $|k_{\perp,1} \gg k_{\perp,0}|$

- $(b_0 b_1)(b_0 b_B b_1) > 0$ as $b_B \simeq b_1 \gg b_0$
- $1 k_{\parallel,1}k_{\parallel,0}V_A^2/(\omega_0\omega_1) > 0$: Ω_1 excited above local SAW continuum maximum with $-1/2 < n_1q_{min} m_1 < 0$ (sufficient, not necessary)

 \Box Second regime: scattering to moderate n_1

- $(b_0 b_1)(b_0 b_B b_1) < 0$ as $b_0 > b_1$ while $\mathbf{k}_{\perp,1} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{\perp,0} < k_{\perp,1}^2$
- $1 k_{\parallel,1}k_{\parallel,0}V_A^2/(\omega_0\omega_1) < 0$: Ω_1 excited below local SAW continuum minimum with $0 < n_1q_{min} m_1 < 1/2$
- Scattering to high- n_1 preferred: nonlinear drive $(\Lambda_{k_0,k_{B^*}}^{k_1})^2/(4b_Bb_1))\alpha_N|\delta\phi_0|^2 \propto b_1$ for $b_1 \gg b_0$. Upper limit of n_1 by $\gamma_1(n_1)$: threshold due to Ω_1 damping

 $\Box \qquad \text{Threshold on pump RSAE amplitude } (b_1 \gg b_0)$

$$\begin{split} |\delta\phi_{0}|^{2} > \frac{4b_{B}b_{1}\gamma_{B}\gamma_{1}}{\alpha_{N}(\Lambda_{k_{0},k_{B^{*}}}^{k_{1}})^{2}} \Rightarrow \left|\frac{\delta B_{\perp}}{B_{0}}\right|^{2} > \frac{4\gamma_{1}\gamma_{B}}{\omega_{0}\omega_{1}}\frac{k_{\parallel,0}^{2}}{k_{\perp,1}^{2}}\frac{1}{1-k_{\parallel,0}k_{\parallel,1}V_{A}^{2}/(\omega_{0}\omega_{1})} \\ \sim O(10^{-8}) \end{split}$$

rough estimation with $\gamma/\omega_0 \sim 10^{-2}, k_{\parallel}/k_{\perp} \sim 10^{-3}, 1 - k_{\parallel,0}k_{\parallel,1}V_A^2/(\omega_0\omega_1) \sim 10^{-2}$

 \Box LFAM saturation level derived from coupled NL equations as

$$|\delta\phi_B|^2 = \frac{b_0 b_1 \omega_0 \omega_1 \gamma_1 \partial_{\omega_1} \mathcal{E}_{1,\mathcal{R}} \gamma_0 \partial_{\omega_0} \mathcal{E}_{0,\mathcal{R}}}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1 (\Lambda_{k_1,k_B}^{k_0})^2} \tag{10}$$

□ Ion heating power by LFAM Landau damping:

$$P_i = 2\gamma_B \omega_B \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_{B,\mathcal{R}}}{\partial \omega_B} \frac{n_0 e^2}{T_i} b_B |\delta \phi_B|^2 \sim 10^{-3} \gamma_0 nT \tag{11}$$

comparable to collisional heating power estimated by $\sim nT/\tau_E!$

Summary

- □ A new RSAE decay and core-localized alpha-channeling mechanism proposed and analyzed
- □ Nonlinear decay of RSAE into another RSAE and LFAM analyzed:
 - scattering to high-n regime preferred
 - threshold on pump RSAE amplitude derived
 - LFAM saturation level estimated \Rightarrow ion heating rate
- \Box Heating power comparable to collisional heating estimated by $\sim nT/\tau_E$
- □ Branching ratio of different processes: LFAM generation v.s. ZFS generation v.s. ion induced scattering?
- \Box For more details, [Wei, Wang, Chen et al, Nuclear Fusion **62**, 126038 (2022)]