Entropy calculations

in the nonlinear wave-particle interactions

of Berk-Breizman model

Jungpyo Lee (HYU)

Special thanks to Dr. Duate (PPPL) and Dr. Lestz (GA)

2022/ 11/ 07

Trilateral Energetic Particle Workshop

CONTENTS

Chapter 1. Introduction to entropy, BBK model

Chapter 2. Entropy Change in the f0 system

Chapter 3. Symmetry in Entropy Internal Transfer

Chapter 4. Numerical Evaluations

Chapter 5. Conclusions



Time-reversal of Vlasov-Maxwell's equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f + q(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \nabla_v f &= 0 \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{B} &= \mu_0 \left(q \int dv(vf) + \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \right) \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} &= -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}, \end{aligned}$$

 \rightarrow Time reversal with the opposite velocity also satisfies the equations

$$f' = f(-t, \mathbf{r}, -\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{E}' = \mathbf{E}(-t, \mathbf{r}) \text{ and } \mathbf{B}' = -\mathbf{B}(-t, \mathbf{r})$$

 \rightarrow Entropy cannot change in the total system



J

Entropy can change in a set of system

Possible reasons to break the time-reversal in a given system (not total)

 $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f + q(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \nabla_v (f_1 + f_0) \neq \nu f$ (1) Collisions: Krook collision operator in Berk model \rightarrow f(-t, -v) changes only the left term, so cannot be a solution

(2) External source for distributions: Energetic particles in Berk model $\rightarrow f_0$ is given

(3) External source for fields $\rightarrow B_0$ is given $\rightarrow \mathbf{B}' \neq -\mathbf{B}(-t, \mathbf{r})$

Applicable to BBP model $\sigma = \int dv \, \dot{f}_0 f_0$

```
Classical Entropy
Production \sigma = \sum J_a F_a
J: Flux (e.g. energy flow,
current)
F_a: Force (e.g. \nabla(1/T),
Efield/T)
```

Chapter 1. Introduction

Generalization of Quasilinear theory and entropy

- Lagrangian for Vlasov-Maxwell equation [Low 1958]
- Oscillation Center [Kaufman 1972, Dewar 1973, Dodin 2014], Lie Transform [Ye 1992, Brizard 2007]
- Lagrangian for Free energy with dissipative term [Morrison 1989]
- Advantage of the use of Free energy or entropy (1) Find the linear instability $H = -\frac{m}{2} \int \frac{v(\delta f)^2}{df/dv} dv dx + \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \int \delta \phi_x^2 dx$ [Kruskal and Oberman (1958)] $= V \frac{\epsilon_0}{4} \frac{\partial(\omega \epsilon_r)}{\partial \omega} ||\mathbf{E}(k, \omega)||^2$ (2) Given the external constraints, find the equilibrium (Maximum entropy production principle [MEPP])
 (3) Find the positive definite relation
- \rightarrow How useful to understand the Berk model?



Chapter 1. Introduction

Entropy components

- Boltzmann entropy is defined as $s = -\int dv f \log f$
- For different orders,

$$s_{s} = -\int dv (f_{s0} + f_{s1}) \left(\log f_{s0} + \log \left(1 + \frac{f_{s1}}{f_{s0}} \right) \right)$$
$$\simeq -\int dv \left(f_{s0} \log f_{s0} + f_{s1} (\log f_{s0} + 1) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{f_{s1}^{2}}{f_{s0}} \right)$$
$$\simeq s_{s0} + s_{s1} + s_{s2},$$

• Entropy production can be defined as

$$\hat{\sigma} \propto -f_0 \dot{f}_0 - f_1 \dot{f}_1 - f_2 \dot{f}_2$$

• Free energy of the perturbed system is $s_1 \propto \int dv \frac{f_1^2}{f_0} \propto |\phi|^2$



1-D Berk nonlinear Landau damping

 A simplest model explaining the nonlinear saturation for an instability by the energetic particles

[Berk, Briezman, Pekker, PRL 1996]

- Diffusion and drag in collision [Lilley et. al. PRL 2009]
- Fokker-Planck collision [Duarte 2017]
- Frequency Chirping [Berk 1999, Duarte 2017,19]

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{q}{m} (\hat{E} \cos(kx - \omega t)) \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} = -\nu f + S$$



Assumptions for the Berk model

<Assumptions>

$$u = kv - \omega$$

- 1-D in u
- $f_0^{(0)} = S/\nu$ Given background distribution source (energetic particle)
- $\omega_B = (ek\hat{E}/m)^{1/2}$ Small perturbation but the comparable nonlinear terms

 $\omega_B \ll \gamma_L, \gamma_D, \nu, 1/t$ and $\gamma_L - \gamma_d \ll \gamma_L \rightarrow Possible Saturation for$

$$(\gamma_L - \gamma_D)\omega_{B0}^2 - \frac{\gamma_L}{4\nu^4}\frac{\omega_{B0}^6}{2} = 0$$

- Artificial fields dissipation by γ_D
- Single wave spectrum \rightarrow No stochastic by resonance overlap

$$E = \hat{E}exp(iut) + \hat{E}^*exp(-iut)$$

= $f_0 + f_1exp(iut) + f_1^*exp(-iut) + f_2exp(2iut) + f_2^*exp(-2iut)$



Order equations of the Berk model

<Equations>

- Linear term of O(E) will be balanced with the nonlinear term of O(E³)
- Requiring the order equations for f_0, f_1 , and f_2
- Landau damping in f_1 , and $f_2 \rightarrow f_1 \propto e^{-(iu+\nu)t}$ factor in solutions
- Electric fields is calculated by the energy balance (or Ampere's law)

$$\frac{\partial \omega_B^2}{\partial t} = -\frac{e\omega}{\epsilon_0 mk} \int f_1 dv - \gamma_D \omega_B^2$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} &= \left(\frac{-\omega_B^2}{2}\frac{\partial f_1^*}{\partial u} + \frac{-\omega_B^{2*}}{2}\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial u}\right) - \nu f_0 \\ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t} &+ (iu + \nu)f_1 = \frac{-\omega_B^2}{2}\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial u} - \frac{\omega_B^{2*}}{2}\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial u} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial t} &+ (2iu + \nu)f_1 = \frac{-\omega_B^2}{2}\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial u} \\ \text{Inear growth} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{NonLinear} \\ \text{Saturation} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Linear damping} \\ \text{(External)} \\ \text{(External)} \\ \end{array} \\ = \gamma_L \omega_B^2 + \gamma_L \frac{2k}{\pi dF_0/du} \int (f_1^{(3)})du - \gamma_D \omega_B^2 \\ \gamma_L &= (\pi e^2 \omega/2\epsilon_0 mk) df_0^{(0)}/du \end{split}$$



Distribution function solution map

<Couplings>

- The coupling between adjacent distribution orders $f_0^{(0)} \rightarrow f_{-1}^{(1)}$, $f_1^{(1)}$
- For each coupling, the power of E fields increases $f_1^{(1)} \rightarrow f_0^{(2)}$, $f_2^{(2)}$
- Collision, Landau damping factors

E fields power order

$$f_0 = f_0^{(0)} + f_0^{(2)}(t) + f_0^{(4)}(t) + \dots$$
distribution order

$$\alpha = \frac{\omega_B^2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$$
$$\alpha^* = \frac{\omega_B^{2*}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$$
$$r_1 = \int_0^t dt' e^{(iu+\nu)(t'-t)}$$
$$r_2 = \int_0^t dt' e^{(2iu+\nu)(t'-t)}$$

하양대흐



Entropy production components

- The entropy production is multiplication of distribution with the time derivative
- For symmetry in time integral, the bounce-average of the entropy production is required [Lee PPCF 2019]

 \rightarrow equivalent to the Kaufmann [1972] quasilinear diffusion form.

Because of the decorrelation time by collision or diffusion, the bounceaverage is still temporally localized compared to the evolution.



$$\langle .. \rangle_b = \int \frac{dl}{v_{\parallel}} .. = \int dt ..$$

$$\langle Q \rangle_K = \frac{\partial}{\partial J^i} \left[\overline{\mathbf{D}}^{ij} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial J^i} \right]$$

Where $\langle ... \rangle_{K} = (2\pi)^{-3} \int \int \int ... d\theta_{1} d\theta_{2} d\theta_{3}$

11

Ch2. Entropy change in f0 system

 $\sigma_0 \sim f_0 \dot{f_0}$

power of E k	E^2	E^4			Coll	
σ_0	$\sigma_{0,0}^{(0,2)}$	$\sigma_{0,0}^{(0,4,W)}$	$\sigma_{0,0}^{(0,4,V)}$	$\sigma_{0,0}^{(2,2)}$		$\sigma_{0,coll}$
σ_1	$\sigma_{1,1}^{(1,1)}$	$\sigma_{1,1}^{(3,1,W)}$	$\sigma_{1,1}^{(3,1,V)}$	$\sigma_{1,1}^{(1,3,W)}$	$\sigma_{1,1}^{(1,3,V)}$	$\sigma_{1,coll}$
σ_2					$\sigma_{2,2}^{(2,2)}$	$\sigma_{2,coll}$



Positive definiteness of entropy by Q and C?

$$\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{-\omega_B^2}{2}\frac{\partial f_1^*}{\partial u} + \frac{-\omega_B^{2*}}{2}\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial u}\right) + \nu_{scatt}^3\frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial^2 u} + \nu_{drag}^2\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial u} - \nu f_0 + S$$
$$= Q(f_0) + C(f_0) + S$$

[Question] If $\sigma^{(Q)}$ and $\sigma^{(C)}$ are positive, how can we have the steady state solution of the unperturbed f0 system?

[Answer 1] There is a hidden source in the total f0 system

$$\hat{\sigma}^{(Q)}(t) = \int_0^{t_b} dt \int dv (-\log f_0) Q(f_0)$$
$$= -r_b(t, t') \log f_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial u} E^2 \delta(u) \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial u} \ge 0.$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^{(C)}(t) = \int dv (-\log f_0) C(f_0)$$
$$= -\int dv dx (1 + \log f_0) \beta \kappa \beta f_0 \ge 0$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^{S(0)} = \int dv (-\log f_0) S < 0$$



Entropy balance between Q and C

 ∂t

[Question] If $\sigma^{(Q)}$ and $\sigma^{(C)}$ are positive, how can we have the steady state solution?

[Answer 2] For the higher order, There is non-symmetry, giving the balance of the positive and negative term

$$f_0(t) = f_0^0 + f_0^2(t) + f_0^4(t) + \dots,$$
$$\frac{\partial f_0^2}{\partial t_0^2} = O(f_0^0) + C(f_0^2)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{Q(0,2)} + \hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{C(2,2)}\right) = 0$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{\sigma}^{(Q)}(t) &= -r_b(t,t') \log(f_0^0 + f_0^2(t)) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} E^2 \delta(u) \frac{\partial(f_0^0 + f_0^2(t))}{\partial u} = \hat{\sigma}^{Q(0,2)}_{0,0} + 2\hat{\sigma}^{Q(2,2)}_{0,0}(t) + \hat{\sigma}^{Q(2,4)}_{0,0} \\ \\ \hat{\sigma}^{Q(0,2)}_{0,0}(t) &= -r_b(t,t') f_0^{(0)} \alpha(t') r_1^*(t',t'') \alpha^*(t'') f_0^{(0)} > 0 \\ \hat{\sigma}^{Q(2,2)}_{0,0}(t) &= -r_b(t,t') f_0^{(2)} \alpha(t') r_1^*(t',t'') \alpha^*(t'') f_0^{(0)} < 0 \\ \hat{\sigma}^{Q(2,4)}_{0,0}(t) &= -r_b(t,t') f_0^{(2)} \alpha(t') r_1^*(t',t'') \alpha^*(t'') f_0^{(2)} > 0 \\ \hat{\sigma}^{Q(2,4)}_{0,0}(t) &= -r_b(t,t') f_0^{(2)} \alpha(t') r_1^*(t',t'') \alpha^*(t'') f_0^{(2)} > 0 \\ \hat{\sigma}^{Q(2,4)}_{0,0}(t) &= -r_b(t,t') f_0^{(2)} \alpha(t') r_1^*(t',t'') \alpha^*(t'') f_0^{(2)} > 0 \\ \hat{\sigma}^{C(2,2)}_{0,0}(t) &= \int dv dx \frac{1}{f_0} (\beta f_0^0) \kappa(\beta f_0^0) = -\hat{\sigma}^{S(0)} \ge 0 \\ \hat{\sigma}^{C(2,2)}_{0,0}(t) &= \int dv dx \frac{1}{f_0} (\beta f_0^2) \kappa(\beta f_0^0) = \int dv dx \frac{1}{f_0} (\beta f_0^0) \kappa(\beta f_0^2) = -\hat{\sigma}^{S(2)} \\ \hat{\sigma}^{C(2,4)}_{0,0}(t) &= \int dv dx \frac{1}{f_0} (\beta f_0^2) \kappa(\beta f_0^2) \ge 0 \\ \hat{\sigma}^{C(2,4)}_{0,0}(t) &= \int dv dx \frac{1}{f_0} (\beta f_0^2) \kappa(\beta f_0^2) \ge 0 \\ \hat{\sigma}^{C(2,4)}_{0,0}(t) &= \int dv dx \frac{1}{f_0} (\beta f_0^2) \kappa(\beta f_0^2) \ge 0 \\ \hat{\sigma}^{C(2,4)}_{0,0}(t) &= \int dv dx \frac{1}{f_0} (\beta f_0^2) \kappa(\beta f_0^2) \ge 0 \\ \hat{\sigma}^{C(2,4)}_{0,0}(t) &= \int dv dx \frac{1}{f_0} (\beta f_0^2) \kappa(\beta f_0^2) \ge 0 \\ \hat{\sigma}^{C(2,4)}_{0,0}(t) &= \int dv dx \frac{1}{f_0} (\beta f_0^2) \kappa(\beta f_0^2) \ge 0 \\ \hat{\sigma}^{C(2,4)}_{0,0}(t) &= \int dv dx \frac{1}{f_0} (\beta f_0^2) \kappa(\beta f_0^2) \ge 0 \\ \hat{\sigma}^{C(2,4)}_{0,0}(t) &= \int dv dx \frac{1}{f_0} (\beta f_0^2) \kappa(\beta f_0^2) \ge 0 \\ \hat{\sigma}^{C(2,4)}_{0,0}(t) &= \int dv dx \frac{1}{f_0} (\beta f_0^2) \kappa(\beta f_0^2) \ge 0 \\ \hat{\sigma}^{C(2,4)}_{0,0}(t) &= \int dv dx \frac{1}{f_0} (\beta f_0^2) \kappa(\beta f_0^2) \ge 0 \\ \hat{\sigma}^{C(2,4)}_{0,0}(t) &= \int dv dx \frac{1}{f_0} (\beta f_0^2) \kappa(\beta f_0^2) \ge 0 \\ \hat{\sigma}^{C(2,4)}_{0,0}(t) &= \int dv dx \frac{1}{f_0} (\beta f_0^2) \kappa(\beta f_0^2) \ge 0 \\ \hat{\sigma}^{C(2,4)}_{0,0}(t) &= \int dv dx \frac{1}{f_0} (\beta f_0^2) \kappa(\beta f_0^2) \ge 0 \\ \hat{\sigma}^{C(2,4)}_{0,0}(t) &= \int dv dx \frac{1}{f_0} (\beta f_0^2) \kappa(\beta f_0^2) \ge 0 \\ \hat{\sigma}^{C(2,4)}_{0,0}(t) &= \int dv dx \frac{1}{f_0} (\beta f_0^2) \kappa(\beta f_0^2) \ge 0 \\ \hat{\sigma}^{C(2,4)}_{0,0}(t) &= \int dv dx \frac{1}{f_0} (\beta f_0^2) \kappa(\beta f_0^2) \ge 0 \\ \hat{\sigma}^{C(2,4)}_{0,0}(t) &= \int dv dx \frac{1}{f_0} (\beta f_0^2) \kappa(\beta f_0^2) \ge 0$$

Chapter 2. Entropy Change in f0 system

Entropy change in f0 (unperturbed) system

• The lowest order entropy change of BBK equation is not positive-definite but larger than $\sigma^{(S)} < 0$, as expected in the open system (e.g. $\sigma = \sigma_{irrever} + \frac{\delta Q}{T}$)





<u>Ch3. Symmetry in entropy</u> <u>internal transfer</u>

 $f_0 \dot{f}_0^{(Q)} vs. f_1 \dot{f}_1^{(Q)}$

power of E k	E^2	E^4			Coll	
σ_0	$\sigma_{0,0}^{(0,2)}$	$\sigma_{0,0}^{(0,4,W)}$	$\sigma_{0,0}^{(0,4,V)}$	$\sigma_{0,0}^{(2,2)}$		$\sigma_{0,coll}$
σ_1	$\sigma_{1,1}^{(1,1)}$	$\sigma_{1,1}^{(\bigstar,1,W)}$	$\sigma_{1,1}^{(3,1,V)}$	$\sigma_{1,1}^{(1,\checkmark,W)}$	$\sigma_{1,1}^{(1,3,V)}$	$\sigma_{1,coll}$
σ_2					$\sigma_{2,2}^{(2,2)}$	$\sigma_{2,coll}$



power

0

1

 $f_1^{(1)*}$

 $f_{1}^{(1)}$

2

 $f_0^{(2)}$

 $f_2^{(2)}$

f

J

• The lowest order contribution is the second
order in electric fields by

$$\hat{\sigma}^{(2)}(t) = \hat{\sigma}^{(0,2)}_{0,0}(t) + \left(\hat{\sigma}^{(1,1)}_{1,1}(t)\right) + C.C)$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^{(1,1)}_{1,1}(t) = -r_b(t,t')f_1^{(1)*}(t')\dot{f}_1^{(1)}(t')$$

$$= -r_b(t,t')(\alpha(t')f_0^{(0)})(r_1^*(t',t'')\alpha^*(t'')f_0^{(0)})$$



• The lowest order contribution is the second order in electric fields by
$$\hat{\sigma}^{(2)}(t) = \hat{\sigma}^{(0,2)}_{0,0}(t) + \left(\hat{\sigma}^{(1,1)}_{1,1}(t)\right) + C.C)$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{1,1}^{(1,1)}(t) = -r_b(t,t') f_1^{(1)*}(t') \dot{f}_1^{(1)}(t')$$

$$= -r_b(t,t') (\alpha(t') f_0^{(0)}) (r_1^*(t',t'') \alpha^*(t'') f_0^{(0)})$$

• symmetry both in time integral (t and t') $r_n(t,t') = \int_0^t dt' e^{(inu+\nu)(t'-t)}$ and acceleration $\alpha(t) = \omega_B^2(t)\partial/\partial u$.



• The lowest order contribution is the second order in electric fields by

$$\hat{\sigma}^{(2)}(t) = \hat{\sigma}^{(0,2)}(t) + (\hat{\sigma}^{(1,1)}_{1,1}(t) + C.C)$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^{(0,2)}_{0,0}(t) = \hat{\sigma}^{(0,2+)}_{0,0}(t) + \hat{\sigma}^{(0,2-)}_{0,0}(t)$$

power oj k	_{f E} 0	1	2	
-1		$f_1^{(1)*}$		f
0	$f_0^{(0)}$		$f_0^{(2)}$	
1		$f_1^{(1)}$		ſ
2			$f_2^{(2)}$	

$$\hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,2+)}(t) = -r_b(t,t') f_0^{(0)*} \dot{f}_0^{(2),+}(t')$$
$$= -r_b(t,t') f_0^{(0)} \alpha(t') r_1^*(t',t'') \alpha^*(t'') f_0^{(0)}$$



• The lowest order contribution is the second order in electric fields by

$$\hat{\sigma}^{(2)}(t) = \hat{\sigma}^{(0,2)}_{0,0}(t) + (\hat{\sigma}^{(1,1)}_{1,1}(t) + C.C)$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^{(0,2)}_{0,0}(t) = \hat{\sigma}^{(0,2+)}_{0,0}(t) + \hat{\sigma}^{(0,2-)}_{0,0}(t)$$

 $\hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,2-)}(t) = -r_b(t,t')f_0^{(0)*}\dot{f}_0^{(2),-}(t')$

power oj k	_{f E} 0	1	2	
-1		$f_1^{(1)*}$		f_{1}
0	$f_0^{(0)}$		$f_0^{(2)}$	
1		$f_1^{(1)}$		ſ
2			$f_2^{(2)}$	

$$= -r_b(t, t') f_0^{(0)} \alpha^*(t') r_1(t', t'') \alpha(t'') f_0^{(0)}$$



- By integration by parts in u, $\int du \hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,2+)}(t) = -\int du \hat{\sigma}_{1,1}^{(1,1)}(t)$ $\int du \hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,2-)}(t) = -\int du \hat{\sigma}_{1,1}^{(1,1)*}(t)$ σ_{1} $\sigma_{1,1}^{(1,1)}$
- By the symmetry w/o collisions, the entropy production is positive or negative

• A small collision makes the opposite sign contribution. For $e^{\nu t} \simeq 1 + \nu t$, $\int du \hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,2)}(t) - \int du \hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,2)}(t)|_{\nu=0} \simeq - \int du \Big| \int_0^t dt' \nu t' A_0(t') \Big|^2 < 0$



power

of E

 E^2

Entropy production (Higher order=nonlinear)

• The higher order contribution is the fourth order in electric fields by

$$\hat{\sigma}^{(4)}(t) = \hat{\sigma}^{(0,4)}_{0,0}(t) + \hat{\sigma}^{(2,2)}_{0,0}(t) + \hat{\sigma}^{(1,3)}_{1,1}(t) + \hat{\sigma}^{(3,1)}_{1,1}(t) + \hat{\sigma}^{(2,2)}_{2,2}(t) + C.C$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,4)}(t) = -r_b(t,t')f_0^{(0)*}\dot{f}_0^{(4)}(t') = \hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,4,W+)}(t) + \hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,4,W-)}(t) + \hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,4,V+)}(t) + \hat{\sigma}_{0,0}$$



Entropy production (Higher order=nonlinear)

$$\hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,4)}(t) = -r_b(t,t')f_0^{(0)*}\dot{f}_0^{(4)}(t') = \hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,4,W+)}(t) + \hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,4,W-)}(t) + \hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,4,V)}(t)$$

• W shape + part

$$\hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,4,W+)}(t) = -r_b(t,t') f_0^{(0)*} \alpha^*(t) r_1(t',t'') \alpha(t'') r_0(t'',t_1) \alpha(t_1) r_1^*(t_1,t_2) \alpha^*(t_2) f_0^{(0)}$$



Entropy production (Higher order=nonlinear)

$$\hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,4)}(t) = -r_b(t,t')f_0^{(0)*}\dot{f}_0^{(4)}(t') = \hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,4,W+)}(t) + \hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,4,W-)}(t) + \hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,4,V)}(t)$$

• W shape - part

$$\hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,4,W-)}(t) = -r_b(t,t') f_0^{(0)*} \alpha^*(t) r_1(t',t'') \alpha(t'') r_0(t'',t_1) \alpha^*(t_1) r_1(t_1,t_2) \alpha(t_2) f_0^{(0)*} \alpha^*(t_1) r_1(t_1,t_2) \alpha(t_2) f_0^{(0)*} \alpha^*(t_1) r_1(t_1,t_2) \alpha(t_2) \alpha(t_2) f_0^{(0)*} \alpha^*(t_1) r_1(t_1,t_2) \alpha(t_2) \alpha(t_2) \alpha(t_2) \alpha(t_2) \alpha(t_3) \alpha$$



한양대학

HANYANG UNIVERSI

Entropy production (Higher order=nonlinear)

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,4)}(t) &= -r_b(t,t') f_0^{(0)*} \dot{f}_0^{(4)}(t') &= \hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,4,W+)}(t) + \hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,4,W-)}(t) + \hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,4,V)}(t) \\ \bullet \text{ V shape part } & \omega_B^2(t) \partial / \partial u \\ \hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,4,V)}(t) &= -r_b(t,t') f_0^{(0)*} \alpha^*(t) r_1(t',t'') \alpha^*(t'') r_2(t'',t_1) \alpha(t_1) r_1(t_1,t_2) \alpha(t_2) f_0^{(0)} \end{aligned}$$

Cancellation in the higher order

• By integration by parts in u,

$$\int du \hat{\sigma}_{0,0}^{(0,4)}(t) = -\int du \hat{\sigma}_{1,3}^{(3,1)}(t)$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} & power \\ of E \\ k \end{array} & E^2 & E^4 \\ \hline \sigma_0 & \sigma_{0,0}^{(0,2)} & \sigma_{0,0}^{(0,4,W)} & \sigma_{0,0}^{(0,4,V)} \\ \hline \sigma_1 & \sigma_{1,1}^{(1,1)} & \sigma_{1,1}^{(2,1,W)} & \sigma_{1,1}^{(3,1,V)} \\ \end{array}$$

 $\sigma_{0\,0}^{(0,4)}$

- However, there is no symmetry in the time integral for
- \rightarrow The sign is likely negative, but not always!, even for no collision
- The resonance condition is not available in the integral range for $\sigma_{0,0}^{(0,4,V)}$ \rightarrow No contribution from $\sigma_{0,0}^{(0,4,V)}$



Entropy transfer in the system



- The entropy in the total system (green box) is conserved for any collisions
 → Only entropy transfer between sub-systems exists
- The entropy production only occurs for the collision terms



Entropy transfer in the system



• For the electric fields equation, the entropy has a balance for the saturation

$$\int du\hat{\sigma}_{1,1}^{(1,1)} + \int du\hat{\sigma}_{1,1}^{(3,1,W+)} + \int du\hat{\sigma}_D = 0$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_D = -(\gamma_D/\gamma_L)\hat{\sigma}_{1,1}^{(1,1)}$



Entropy transfer in the system



- For the electric fields equation, the entropy has a balance for the saturation
- → For saturation of the case $\gamma_L \gamma_D > 0$, it requires $\sigma_{1,1}^{(3,1,W)} > 0$
- ightarrow The non-symmetric entropy component do not guarantee the positiveness



Case 1-1. Saturation at $\hat{v} = v/(\gamma_L - \gamma_D) = 5$

-0.03 [

2

4

6

 $(\gamma_L - \gamma_D)^* t$

8



HANYANG UNIVERSITY



 $\sigma_{\rm D}$ w/o avg

10

total w/o avg

12

30

Case 1-2. Saturation at $\hat{v} = D^{\frac{1}{3}}/(\gamma_L - \gamma_D) = 3.0$

[Lilley et. al. PoP 2010]





Chapter 4. Numerical Evaluations

Case 2-1. limit cycle at $\hat{v} = v/(\gamma_L - \gamma_D) = 3$



HANYANG UNIVERSITY



Case 2-2. limit cycle at $\hat{v} = D^{\frac{1}{3}}/(\gamma_L - \gamma_D) = 1.5$



HANYANG UNIVERSITY

Case 3. Sudden Burst $\hat{v} = v/(\gamma_L - \gamma_D) = 2.5$



Chapter 4. Numerical Evaluations

Case 3: Precursor of the burst?

- The negative $\sigma_{1,1}^{(3,1,W)}$ coincides on the burst timing
- ightarrow It cannot makes the saturation for

$$\int du\hat{\sigma}_{1,1}^{(1,1)} + \int du\hat{\sigma}_{1,1}^{(3,1,W+)} + \int du\hat{\sigma}_D = 0$$

Phase shift of the oscillating components is found \rightarrow Memory mixing ٠ $\frac{\hat{E}(t'')}{2}\frac{\hat{E}(t_1)}{2}\frac{\hat{E}^*(t''-t'+t_1)}{2}(t''-t')^2e^{\nu(t_1+t''-2t')}$ $\hat{E}^{*}(t')$ $\frac{\hat{E}^{*}(t'')}{2}\frac{\hat{E}(t_{1})}{2}\frac{\hat{E}(t''+t'-t_{1})}{2}(t''-t')(t''+t'-2t_{1})e^{\nu(2t''-2t')}$ $\tilde{E}(t')$ 2 1.5 $\sigma_{1.1}^{(3,1,W)}$ $\sigma_{1.1}^{(1,3V)}$ E(tp)int(E(tpp)int(E(t1)E(t2))) $E(tp)int(E(tpp)int(E(t_1)E(t_2)))$ 3.5 $int(E(tpp)int(E(t_1)E(t_2)))$ E(tp) 3 2.5 E contribution on σ_{11}^{13V} 0.5 1.5 0.5 -0.5 Phase decorrelation -0.5 -1 0 2 4 6 8 10 2 4 6 8 10 12 $(\gamma_L - \gamma_D)^* t$ $(\gamma_{\rm I} - \gamma_{\rm D})^{*}t$



Case 4: Chaotic regime with low diffusion $\hat{v} = D^{\frac{1}{3}}/(\gamma_L - \gamma_D) = 1.3$

[Lilley et. al. PoP 2010]





Case 4: Why showing tangent bifurcation?

- Reason of annihilation?
- See the good cancellation between $\frac{1}{\gamma_L} \frac{d\sigma_{0,0}^{(0,2)}}{dt} = \frac{\gamma_L \gamma_D}{\gamma_L} \sigma_{0,0}^{(0,2,W)} \sigma_{1,1}^{(3,1,W)}$ and $\sigma_{1,1}^{(3,1,W)}$ $\rightarrow \text{As } \sigma_{1,1}^{(3,1,W)}$ is larger than $\frac{\gamma_L - \gamma_D}{\gamma_L} \sigma_{0,0}^{(0,2,W)}$, it is the decay equation like $\frac{dE}{dt} = -E^3$
- Then, why the nonlinear term becomes larger for this case?





Chapter 4. Numerical Evaluations

Case 4: Decorrelation/asymmetry

 Temporal change of the symmetric terms is dominantly determined by

$$\frac{d\sigma^{(Q,sym)}}{dt} \propto \frac{dE}{dt}$$

• For Non-symmetric term: $\frac{d\sigma^{(Q,Non-sym)}}{dt} \propto \frac{dE}{dt} + \frac{df}{dt}$ \rightarrow Mixed contribution will make the

decorrelation

• Phase decorrelation due to the collision is well shown in the $\sigma_{1,1}^{(3,1,W+)}$

$$\sigma_{1,1}^{(3,1,W+)} = \int_{0}^{T/2} dk k^{2} e^{-2/3(Dk^{3})-2\nu k+i\alpha k^{2}} \int_{k}^{T-k} dt'' \left(\hat{E}(t''+k)\right)^{*} \hat{E}(t'') e^{(-Dk^{2}+i\alpha k-\nu)t''} \\ \times \int_{k}^{t''} dt_{1} \hat{E}^{*}(t_{1}-k) \hat{E}(t_{1}) e^{(-Dk^{2}+i\alpha k-\nu)(-t_{1})} \\ \overbrace{kanyang university} C_{-}(k,t_{1})$$



38

 $C_{+}(k,t'')$

HANYANG UNIVERSI

t

High nonlinear term when the oscillation is well alligned with the decay



Chapter 5. Conclusions

Conclusions

- 1-D nonlinear analysis in the given energetic particle source [Berk PRL 1997] is analyzed using the entropy production/transfer
- When the domain is f0 system, the entropy can be produced by both collisions and quasilinear interaction, while the source has the negative entropy production
- Entropy is not produced by the wave-particle interaction in total for any collisions, it is only transferred between the sub-systems
- For the entropy transfer, there are symmetric parts, which is likely positivedefinite or negative-definite without collisions
- The non-symmetric part can change the sign in time, which can make the nonsaturation or burst for a case of low collisions (long memory). For the annihilation of the chaotic results, the memory time is well aligned with the field oscillation, and it results in the larger nonlinear contribution than the quasilinear and dissipation



Thank you for your attention.

