

TCM-43 Frascati

Divertor VUV Spectrometer

A. Belpane^{1,4}, W.Biel⁹, A. Fassina³, S. Soare⁷, L. Carraro^{1,2}, B. Zaniol^{1,2}, M. Chernyshova¹¹, I. Coffey¹², K. Lawson¹², S. Palomba⁶, A. Sartore¹, M. Fincato¹, M. Cavinato⁸, N. Hajnal⁸, G. Phillips⁸, S. Davis⁸, C. Sozzi¹⁰ and M. Valisa^{1,2}

- 1. Consorzio RFX (CNR, ENEA, INFN, Università di Padova, Acciaierie Venete SpA), Corso Stati Uniti 4, 35127 Padova, Italy
- 2. CNR-IST, Istituto per la Scienza e la Tecnologia dei Plasmi del CNR, Corso Stati Uniti 4, 35127 Padova, Italy
- 3. ENEA, Fusion and Nuclear Safety Department, 00044 Frascati, Italy
- 4. Department of Economics, Engineering, Society and Business Organization, University of Tuscia, Largo dell'Università, 01100 Viterbo, Italy;
- 5. Tor Vergata University of Rome, Industrial Engineering Department, Rome, Italy
- 6. DTT Via Enrico Fermi 45, 00044 Frascati, Italy
- 7. Dept. of Industrial Engineering, Enigineering Faculty, University Lucian Blaga, Sibiu, Romania
- 8. Fusion for Energy, Josep Pla 2, Barcelona, 08019, Spain
- 9. Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Institut für Energie und Klimaforschung, Jülich, Germany
- 10. CNR, Istituto di Fisica del Plasma, Milano, Italy
- 11. Institute of Plasma Physics and Laser Microfusion, Warsaw, Poland
- 12. CFE, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, OX14 3DB, UK
- 13. Institute of Physics, Opole University, Opole, Poland
- 14. University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK



This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 — EUROfusion). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.

Overview [1/2]

Purpose:

- Resolve emission from intrinsic and extrinsic impurities to evaluate the relative/absolute contribution to radiation losses
- Resolve C and Ne lines to detect volume recombination processes (in the proximity of detachment)
- Contribute to physics studies of the divertor by • distinguishing radiation from inner/outer legs and X-point

Wavelength range: 10-48 nm and 44-125nm double channel

Gratings: toroidal gratings with 1-D imaging capability

Position: upper port sector 12



CCD











Measurement system:

The system is composed by refurbished double channel SPRED:

- M1 Lower mirrors
- M2 Upper mirrors
- M3 Slits
- M4 Gratings
- M5 CCD

Endoscope system:

To check the alignment, a laser is cast through the O-order [EO] and the footprint on the divertor is observed with a custom endoscope:

- E1 Double mirrors
- E2 Flat mirror
- E3 Lenses
- E4 CCD Visible camera

Wavelength calibration SPRED A [10-48 nm] [1/3]







Hollow cathode config:

Supply:	700 V / 0.8 A
Detector:	-20 °C
Gas:	Не

Species	Wavelength [nm]	Pixel position
He I	23.7	380
He I	24.3	395
He I	25.6	420
He I	30.4	549

Wavelength calibration SPRED A [10-48 nm] [2/3]



Theoretical calibration curve:

 $\frac{\delta\lambda}{\delta px} = \frac{\text{Range}}{\text{N. Pixel}} = \frac{48\text{nm} - 10\text{nm}}{1024 \text{ px}} = 0.0371 \text{ nm/px}$ $\lambda(px) = \frac{\delta\lambda}{\delta px} px + \lambda_{\min} = 0.0371 \cdot px + 10$

Experimental calibration curve:

Linear fitting: $\lambda(px) = 0.0371 \cdot px + 9.592$

Quadratic fitting: $\lambda(px) = 5.79 \cdot 10^{-6} px^2 + 0.0316 \cdot px + 10.86$

Species	Wavelength [nm]	Pixel position	Theory	Δрх
He I	23.7	380	369	-11
He I	24.3	395	385	-10
He I	25.6	420	420	-12
He I	30.4	549	549	-11





Theoretical calibration:

Experimental calibration :

 $\lambda(\mathrm{px}) = 0.0371 \cdot \mathrm{px} + 10$

on: $\lambda(px) = 0.0371 \cdot px + 9.89$

The wavelength resolution fits with the nominal one

Species	Wavelength [nm]	Pixel position	Theory	Δрх
He I	23.7	370	369	1
He I	24.3	385	385	0
He I	25.6	421	420	1
He I	30.4	549	549	0



Calibration SPRED B [44-125 nm]



Theoretical calibration:

 $\lambda(px) = -0.0791 \cdot px + 125$

Experimental calibration curve:

Linear fitting:

```
\lambda(px) = -0.0766 \cdot px + 124
```

Quadratic fitting: $\lambda(px) = 1.34 \cdot 10^{-5} px^2 - 0.0905 \cdot px + 126.8$

The wavelength resolution fits well with the nominal one



Zero order [1/3]





The PEEK has been moved to the first flange so that it does not have to be removed each time the CCD is removed

R

00



The PEEK was used to support a mirror of the Oth order optical chain





The new system has been designed, manufactured and installed











Zero order [3/3]

Laser that will be directed along the instrument for the alignment







Endoscope used to align the instrument with respect to the divertor. The path is indicate in **light blue**.









Leak test chamber



Designed by **S.Soare** The chamber is completed. The leak test must be scheduled.





Three ring supports arranged inside the chamber to stabilize the tube during transportation



Connect the hollow cathode and illuminate the lower mirror, testing the entire optical chain in VUV [To be tested]. Alternatively, it can be used with visible light.





Chassis and assembled components



End of the port plug.



Arm of the pneumatic motor for the shutter



Mechanical connection of the cable



Entire structure













Witness [2/2]



Upper toroidal mirror



Lower toroidal mirror



Inside vessel components



Spectrometer



Upper mirror supports





Team



Schedule [1/2]





Schedule [2/2]





TCM-43 Frascati

Thanks for the attention

A. Belpane^{1,4}, W.Biel⁹, A. Fassina³, S. Soare⁷, L. Carraro^{1,2}, B. Zaniol^{1,2}, M. Chernyshova¹¹, I. Coffey¹², K. Lawson¹², S. Palomba⁶, A. Sartore¹, M. Fincato¹, M. Cavinato⁸, N. Hajnal⁸, G. Phillips⁸, S. Davis⁸, C. Sozzi¹⁰ and M. Valisa^{1,2}

- 1. Consorzio RFX (CNR, ENEA, INFN, Università di Padova, Acciaierie Venete SpA), Corso Stati Uniti 4, 35127 Padova, Italy
- 2. CNR-IST, Istituto per la Scienza e la Tecnologia dei Plasmi del CNR, Corso Stati Uniti 4, 35127 Padova, Italy
- 3. ENEA, Fusion and Nuclear Safety Department, 00044 Frascati, Italy
- 4. Department of Economics, Engineering, Society and Business Organization, University of Tuscia, Largo dell'Università, 01100 Viterbo, Italy;
- 5. Tor Vergata University of Rome, Industrial Engineering Department, Rome, Italy
- 6. DTT Via Enrico Fermi 45, 00044 Frascati, Italy
- 7. Dept. of Industrial Engineering, Enigineering Faculty, University Lucian Blaga, Sibiu, Romania
- 8. Fusion for Energy, Josep Pla 2, Barcelona, 08019, Spain
- 9. Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Institut für Energie und Klimaforschung, Jülich, Germany
- 10. CNR, Istituto di Fisica del Plasma, Milano, Italy
- 11. Institute of Plasma Physics and Laser Microfusion, Warsaw, Poland
- 12. CFE, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, OX14 3DB, UK
- 13. Institute of Physics, Opole University, Opole, Poland
- 14. University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK



This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 — EUROfusion). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.



